

## Send a fax for free

**Recipient Information** 

To: Brian Lau Company: FPPC Fax #: 9163226440

Sender Information

From: Charles Pine Email address: chpine2@sbcglobal.net Sent on: Sunday, October 26 2008 at 11:09 PM CDT

This fax was sent using the FaxZero.com free fax service. FaxZero.com has a zero tolerance policy for abuse and junk faxes. If this fax is spam or abusive, please e-mail support@faxzero.com or send a fax to 800-980-6858. Specify fax #1266443. We will add your fax number to the block list.

916-322-6440 fax

Mr Brian G Lau FPPC

Dear Mr. Laur

I saw your meino of Aug. 21, 2008 on Proposed Regulation 18420.1 – Expenditures by Governmental Agencies for Communications Related to a Ballot Measure.

We have the problem in Oakland, where the City campaigned twice, in 2006 and this year, for an increase in a citywide Landscape and Lighting District tax. (See www.prpn.org/LLAD1.htm for details.) Both times the City spent funds designing and printing what amounted to a campaign brochure, arranging for 95,000 copies to be inserted with residents' garbage collection bills. The City also spent funds on a political pollster/consultant to have him design a winning strategy, not just sample voter sentiment.

It seems that a real solution lies in requiring governments to pair pro and con communications. Whether or not they are limited to "information," the key thing is to give voters material prepared by the City and also by council members in a minority on the issue or by members of the public. If there are several opposition groups, one could be selected by requiring a fee to submit a statement that is material but not exclusionary (say, \$100) and then choosing one statement by lot.

If this kind of requirement would require legislation, not just regulation, I hope you will offer specific suggestions to the Commission and the public.

Sincerely,

Charles Pine

chpine2@sbcglobal.net

leastes tine

(510) 692-2246